

LECTURE 20: HAHN-BANACH THEOREM

Theorem 45: [Hahn-Banach for real normed vector spaces]. Let  $(M, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space, let  $N \subset M$  be a subspace, and let

$F : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a linear functional which is bounded on  $N$  with norm  $\|F\|_N$ . Then there is a bounded linear functional

$$G : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ with } F(f) = G(f) \quad \forall f \in N \text{ and } \|G\|_M = \|F\|_N.$$

Proof: (a) First we extend  $F$  to a subspace of  $I$  higher dimension:

let  $g \in M \setminus N$ , if  $f', f'' \in N$

$$\begin{aligned} F(f' - f'') &\leq \|F\|_N \|f' - f''\| \\ &= \|F\|_N \|(f' + g) - (f'' + g)\| \\ \Rightarrow F(f') - F(f'') &\leq \|F\|_N \|f' + g\| + \|F\|_N \|f'' + g\| \\ \Rightarrow -\|F\|_N \|f'' + g\| - F(f'') &\leq \|F\|_N \|f' + g\| - F(f') \\ \Rightarrow \sup\{\text{LHS} : f'' \in N\} &\leq \inf\{\text{RHS} : f' \in N\} \end{aligned}$$

let  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $\sup\{\text{LHS}\} \leq \gamma \leq \inf\{\text{RHS}\}$  and let  $f'' = f' = f$ .

$$\text{Then } -\|F\|_N \|f + g\| \leq F(f) + 1 \cdot \gamma \leq \|F\|_N \|f + g\| \quad - (1)$$

(b) Let  $V = \{f + \alpha g : f \in N, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Then  $N$  is a subspace of  $V$  which is also a subspace of  $M$ . If  $x \in V$  then

$$x = f + \alpha g = f' + \alpha' g \Rightarrow N \ni f - f' = g(\alpha' - \alpha) .$$

If  $\alpha \neq \alpha'$  then  $g \in N$  which is false. Thus  $\alpha = \alpha'$  and also  $f = f'$  after cancelling  $\alpha g = \alpha' g$ .

Therefore given  $x \in V$ ,  $f$  and  $\alpha$  are well determined.

Define  $G : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $G(x) = F(f) + \alpha \cdot \gamma$ . Then  $G$  is linear and if  $x \in N$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  and so  $G(x) = F(x)$ . We need to prove that  $\|G\|_V = \|F\|_N$ .

Firstly if  $\alpha = 1$  by equation (1) above

$$\|F(f) + 1 \cdot \gamma\| \leq \|F\|_N \|f + 1 \cdot g\|$$

Hence  $|G(x)| \leq \|F\|_N \|x\| - (2)$  since  $x = f + 1 \cdot g$ .

Therefore  $\|G\|_V \leq \|F\|_N$ . The opposite inequality is almost immediate since

$G$  is an extension of  $F$ . Next replace  $f$  by  $-f$  in (1) to obtain (2)

for  $x = f - g$ .

Case III:  $\alpha > 0$  replace  $f$  by  $f/\alpha$  in (1)

Case IV:  $\alpha < 0$  replace  $f$  by  $f/-\alpha$  in (1).

(c) The next step is radically different from the previous two and involves a so called transfinite construction.

Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set

i.e.  $x \leq y, x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x \Rightarrow x = y$ , and

for all  $x, y, z \in P$ .

We say  $a \in P$  is maximal if  $\forall b, a \leq b \Rightarrow a = b$ .

A subset  $A \subset P$  is a chain or linearly ordered subset if  $\forall a, b \in A, b \leq a$

or  $a \leq b$ . An element  $b \in P$  is an upperbound for a subset  $A \subset P$  if

$b \geq a \quad \forall a \in A$ .

We require the following set theoretic axiom: Zorn's "Lemma": If each

chain in  $P$  has an upperbound in  $P$  then  $P$  has a maximal element.

Let  $P = \{(V, H) : N \subset V \subset M, V \text{ a subspace, } H : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

bounded and linear extending  $F$  on  $N$  and

$$\|H\|_V = \|F\|_N\}$$

Define  $(V, H) > (V', H')$  if  $V \subset V'$  and  $H'(x) = H(x) \quad \forall x \in V$  and

$$\|H'\|_{V'} = \|H\|_V.$$

Since  $(N, F) \in P$ ,  $P \neq \emptyset$ .

It is not difficult to verify that  $<$  is indeed a partial order on  $P$ .

Firstly we show that each chain in  $P$  has an upper bound. Let  $\{(V_\lambda, H_\lambda)\}$  be a chain indexed by  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Let  $V = \cup \{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ .

Then  $V$  is a subspace: if  $x, y \in V$  then  $\exists \lambda$  with  $x \in V_\lambda$  and a  $\gamma$  with  $y \in V_\gamma$ . Then  $V_\lambda \subset V_\gamma$  or  $V_\gamma \subset V_\lambda$ ; in either case  $x + y \in V_\lambda \cup V_\gamma \subset V$ . Define  $G$  on  $V$  as follows: if  $x \in V$  then  $x \in V_\lambda$  some  $\lambda$ . Let  $G(x) = H_\lambda(x)$ . Then  $G$  is well defined since if  $x \in V_\gamma$  also we must have  $H_\lambda(x) = H_\gamma(x)$ .

Then  $(V, G)$  is an upper bound for the chain since given  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , (1)  $V_\lambda \subset V$  and  $\forall x \in V_\lambda$ , (2)  $G(x) = H_\lambda(x)$ , and lastly (3)  $\|G\|_V = \|H_\lambda\|_{V_\lambda}$  : to see this let  $x \in V$ . Then  $x \in V_\beta$  for some  $\beta$ .

Thus  $|G(x)| = |H_\beta(x)| \leq \|H_\beta\|_{V_\beta} \|x\| = \|H_\lambda\|_{V_\lambda} \|x\|$ , since given any pair  $\beta, \lambda$  we must have  $(V_\lambda, H_\lambda) \leq (V_\beta, H_\beta)$  or the reverse and so  $\|H_\beta\|_{V_\beta} = \|H_\lambda\|_{V_\lambda}$ . Therefore  $\|G\|_V \leq \|H_\lambda\|_{V_\lambda}$ . The reverse inequality follows as before.

By (1), (2), (3)  $(V, G)$  is an upper bound for the chain.

(d) By Zorn there is a maximal element  $(W, H)$  say in  $P$ .

If  $W \neq M$  we can extend by 1 dimension as in step (a) above obtaining

$$W \subset W' \subset M \text{ with } W \neq W'$$

and then extend  $H$  to  $H'$  so that  $\|H\|_W = \|H'\|_{W'}$ , as above. But then  $(W, H) < (W', H')$  which is impossible since  $(W, H)$  is maximal. Therefore  $W = M$  and  $H$  extends  $F$  to  $M$ . This completes the proof.

Example: given  $x \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  it is easy to find a (continuous) linear map  $\pi$  with  $\pi(x) \neq 0$ . However for infinite dimensional normed spaces we need the power of Hahn-Banach to show that such a continuous  $\pi$  exists.