

# Modern Algebra Lecture Notes: Rings and fields set 5 (Revision 4)

Kevin Broughan

University of Waikato, Hamilton, New Zealand

May 17, 2010

## Theorem 19: the Mod $p$ irreducibility test

### Statement

Let  $p$  be a prime and  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is such that  $\theta_p(f(x))$  has the same degree and is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ . Then  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

### Notes

- (1) There is a good fast method for factoring a polynomial over any  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ : Berlekamp's algorithm.
- (2) This can be used as an irreducibility test of general utility: try factoring for a number of primes until there is only one factor.
- (3) Berlekamp can be used to factor over  $\mathbb{Z}$  either by choosing a very large prime, or by "lifting" a factorization mod  $p$  to higher powers of  $p$ , until the integer coefficients "appear".

### Examples

- (1) In  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  let  $f(x) = (x^2 + 1)(x^3 + x + 3)^2$ . Then this same factorization works modulo  $p$  for every prime  $p > 3$  but over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $f(x) = x^2(x^2 + 1)^3$ .
- (2)  $x^4 + 2$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ , but over  $\mathbb{Z}_7$  we have  $f(x) = (x^2 + x + 4)(x^2 + 6x + 4)$  and over  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ ,  $f(x) = (x + 1)(x + 2)(x^2 + 1)$ , where each of the factors is irreducible.
- (3)  $2 + x^3 + 2x^7 + x^{10}$  is reducible but changing  $x^3$  to  $2x^3$  makes it irreducible.

### Statement of Theorem 19:

Let  $p$  be a prime and  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  is such that  $\theta_p(f(x))$  has the same degree and is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ . Then  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

### Proof

Assume, to get a contradiction,  $f(x) = g(x).h(x)$  over  $\mathbb{Z}$  where each of  $g(x)$  and  $h(x)$  has degree less than that of  $f(x)$  and greater than 0.

Then  $\theta_p(f(x)) = \theta_p(g(x)).\theta_p(h(x))$ . Then  $\deg \theta_p(g(x)) = \deg g(x)$  and  $\deg \theta_p(h(x)) = \deg h(x)$ , giving a non-trivial factorization of  $\theta_p(f(x))$ , which is impossible.

Therefore  $f(x)$  is irreducible.  $\square$

## Theorem 20: Eisenstein's irreducibility test

### Statement

Let  $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$  have positive degree and suppose some prime  $p \mid a_0, p \mid a_1, \dots, p \mid a_{n-1}$  but  $p \nmid a_n$  and  $p^2 \nmid a_0$ . Then  $f(x)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

### Proof

To get a contradiction let  $f(x) = g(x) \cdot h(x)$  with  $g(x) = b_0 + \cdots + b_mx^m$  and  $h(x) = c_0 + \cdots + c_lx^l$ . Then  $a_0 = b_0c_0$  and  $a_n = b_mc_l$ .

Since  $p \mid a_0$  we have  $p \mid b_0$  or  $p \mid c_0$ . Since  $p^2 \nmid a_0$   $p$  divides one of these and not the other. Suppose  $p \mid b_0$  and  $p \nmid c_0$ .

Since  $p \nmid a_n$  we have  $p \nmid b_m$  so we may assume  $p \mid b_0, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{t-1}$  but  $p \nmid b_t$ . But  $a_t = b_tc_0 + b_{t-1}c_1 + \cdots + b_0c_t$  and we are given  $p \mid a_t$ .

Therefore  $p \mid b_tc_0$  so  $p \mid b_t$ , which is false. Therefore  $f(x)$  is irreducible.  $\square$

### Example

$12 + 4x + 21x^2 - 6x^3 + 3x^4 + x^7$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$  since we can take  $p = 3$ .

## Theorem 21: $f(x)$ irreducible implies $F[x]/\langle f(x) \rangle$ is a field

### Proof

Let  $A$  be the ideal  $\langle f(x) \rangle$  and  $B$  an ideal with  $A \subset B \subset F[x]$ .

Since  $F[x]$  is a principal ideal domain we must have  $B = \langle g(x) \rangle$  for some polynomial  $g(x) \in F[x]$ . But  $f(x) \in B$  so there is a polynomial  $h(x)$  such that  $f(x) = h(x)g(x)$ .

But we are given  $f(x)$  is irreducible, and we may assume non-zero. Hence one of  $h(x)$  or  $g(x)$  must be a non-zero constant polynomial (a unit of  $F[x]$ ). If both are constant,  $B = F[x]$

If both are constant,  $B = F[x]$ . If only one is constant,  $B = A$ . Therefore  $A$  is a maximal ideal.

Hence  $F[x]/A = F[x]/\langle f(x) \rangle$ , by Theorem 5 (set 1), is a field.  $\square$

**Example:** Find the inverse of  $[x + 2]$  in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]/\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle$ : let  $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$  be such that  $[x + 2][ax + b] = [1]$ . Then  $LHS = [ax^2 + x(2a + b) + 2b]$  or  $[a(x^2 + 1) - a + x(2a + b) + 2b]$  which is  $[x(2a + b) + 2b - a]$  so we want  $2a + b = 0$ ,  $2b - a = 1$  or  $a = -1/5$ ,  $b = 2/5$ , giving the inverse  $[(-x + 2)/5]$ .

## Theorem 22: irreducible polynomials over a field are like prime numbers

### Statement

Let  $F$  be a field and let  $f(x)$  in  $F[x]$  be irreducible. Then if  $f(x) \mid g(x).h(x)$  in  $F[x]$  we must have  $f(x) \mid g(x)$  or  $f(x) \mid h(x)$ .

### Proof

Since  $f(x)$  is irreducible,  $F[x]/\langle f(x) \rangle$  is a field, hence an integral domain.

Since  $f(x) \mid g(x).h(x)$  we have  $g(x).h(x) \in \langle f(x) \rangle$ . Thus  $[g(x)][h(x)] = [g(x).h(x)] = [0]$  in  $F[x]/\langle f(x) \rangle$ .

Hence  $[g(x)] = [0]$  or  $[h(x)] = [0]$ . But this means  $g(x) \in \langle f(x) \rangle$  or  $h(x) \in \langle f(x) \rangle$ .

In other words  $f(x) \mid g(x)$  or  $f(x) \mid h(x)$ .  $\square$

## Theorem 23: Unique factorization holds in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$

### Statement

If  $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  has positive degree then it can be factored, up to order, uniquely into the product of an integer and a set of polynomials of positive degree, irreducible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

### Proof

The integer in the statement is the content of  $f(x)$  so first take it out as a factor,  $c(f(x)) \cdot f_1(x)$  where  $f_1(x)$  is primitive and has the same degree as  $f(x)$ .

Let  $n = \deg f_1(x)$ . If  $n = 1$  the polynomial is irreducible and we are done. So assume the result is true for every primitive polynomial of degree up to some given natural number  $n > 1$ .

If  $f_1(x)$  is irreducible we are also done. So assume  $f_1(x)$  has degree  $n$  and  $f_1(x) = g(x) \cdot h(x)$  is a non-trivial factorization. Of course  $g(x)$ ,  $h(x)$  must be primitive, by Gauss' Lemma. Since their degrees are less than  $n$  they will have a factorization into irreducible polynomials by the inductive assumption.

Combining these factorizations gives a factorization of  $f_1(x)$  into irreducibles and hence of  $f(x)$ .

## Theorem 23: Uniqueness of the factors

The leading integer is unique because it is the content of  $f(x)$ , so we can assume  $f(x)$  is primitive.

Suppose it factors into irreducibles as  $f(x) = g_1(x) \cdot g_2(x) \cdots g_n(x)$  and  $f(x) = h_1(x) \cdots h_m(x)$ .

Then each  $h_j(x) \mid f(x)$  which implies, using Theorem 22 many times, for some  $i$ ,  $h_j(x) \mid g_i(x)$ .

But this means  $h_j(x) \cdot k(x) = g_i(x)$  for some  $k(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and  $g_i(x)$  is irreducible. Thus  $k(x) = \pm 1$ , a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

So for each  $j$  there is an  $i$  with  $h_j(x) = \pm g_i(x)$ . Similarly for each  $i$  there is a corresponding  $j$ . **If each of the  $g_i(x)$ 's and  $h_j(x)$  are distinct respectively**, renumber to make the  $i$ 's and  $j$ 's identical so  $m = n$  and

$$f(x) = g_1(x) \cdots g_n(x) = \pm h_1(x) \cdots h_n(x), \quad g_i(x) = \pm h_i(x), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$



If some factors have multiplicity we can write

$$f(x) = g_1(x)^{\alpha_1} \cdots g_m(x)^{\alpha_m} = \pm g_1(x)^{\beta_1} \cdots g_m(x)^{\beta_m}$$

for some  $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ , and where the  $g_i(x)$  are now all distinct.

But if  $\alpha_1 \neq \beta_1$ , say  $\alpha_1 > \beta_1$ , we can cancel all the  $\beta_1$  copies of  $g_1(x)$  from the right hand side leaving  $g_1(x) \mid g_2(x)^{\beta_2} \cdots g_m(x)^{\beta_m}$ , so  $g_1(x) \mid g_j(x)$  for some  $j > 1$ , which is impossible, since the  $g_i(x)$  are all irreducible.

Hence  $\alpha_1 = \beta_1$  and we can cancel all of the powers of  $g_1(x)$  from each side. Then attend to  $g_2(x)$  in the same manner leading to  $\alpha_2 = \beta_2$  and so on, getting eventually  $\alpha_i = \beta_i$  for all  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Hence the factorization is unique up to  $\pm 1$ .  $\square$