

LECTURE 29: ORTHONORMAL SETS

Definition: We say that a subset  $S$  of a Hilbert (or inner product) space  $H$  is orthonormal if  $\|x\| = 1 \quad \forall x \in S$  and  $(x,y) = 0 \quad \forall x, y \in S$ .

Exercise: If  $S$  is orthonormal (o.n.) then  $S$  is linearly independent.

Definition:  $S$  is a complete subset of  $H$  if  $(x,y) = 0 \quad \forall y \in S \Rightarrow x = 0$ .

Examples (i)  $L_2(\mathbb{R}) = H$  then  $e_n = (0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \overset{\text{nth}}{\downarrow} 1, 0, 0, \dots)$  is a complete o.n. set and  $\forall x \in H \quad x = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x, e_j) e_j$ .

(ii)  $L_2([0, \pi], \mathbb{C}) : \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(ijt) : j \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$  is a c.o.n. set.

(iii)  $L_2([0, \pi], \mathbb{R}) : \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cos(nt), \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sin(nt) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$

(iv) Let  $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and let  $H$  be the set of functions which are  $\mathbb{C}$  analytic on  $D$  and satisfy

$$\iint_D |f(z)|^2 dx dy < \infty \quad \text{with}$$

$$(f, g) = \iint_D f \bar{g} dx dy. \quad \text{Then a c.o.n. set is defined by}$$

$$\varphi_n(z) = \sqrt{\frac{n}{\pi}} z^{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

We will not study these particular especially important spaces and c.o.n. sets but develop the basic properties in general. In the following lecture we will apply example (iii).

Definition: We say  $(x, e_j)$  is the Fourier Coefficient of  $x$  with respect to  $e_j$ .

Theorem 61: [Bessel's Inequality.] If

$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is o.n. in an inner product space  $H$

then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |(x, x_j)|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \left\| x - \sum_{j=1}^n (x, x_j) x_j \right\|^2 \\ &= \left( x - \sum_{j=1}^n (x, x_j) x_j, x - \sum_{j=1}^n (x, x_j) x_j \right) \\ &= \|x\|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n \overline{(x, x_j)} (x, x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^n (x, x_j) \overline{(x, x_j)} \\ &\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n (x, x_i) \overline{(x, x_j)} (x_i, x_j)}_{1} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^n (x, x_j) \overline{(x, x_j)} \\ &= \|x\|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n |(x, x_j)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 62: Let  $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$  be an o.n. set in an innerproduct space  $H$ . Then for each  $x \in H$  at most a countable number of the  $\{(x, x_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$  are different from 0.

Proof: Let

$$A_n = \{\alpha \in A : |(x, x_\alpha)| \geq 1/n\}$$

Then if  $A_0$  is the set of non-zero Fourier coefficients of  $x$

$$A_0 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n.$$

If  $A_0$  is uncountable then  $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $A_{n_0}$  is uncountable.

Then  $\exists \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\} \subset A_{n_0}$ . By Bessel's inequality

$$\frac{N}{n_0^2} \leq \sum_{j=1}^N |(x, x_{\alpha_j})|^2 \leq \|x\|^2.$$

Hence  $\forall N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N \leq n_0^2 \|x\|^2$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $A_0$  must be countable.

Given  $x \in H$  label the non zero  $(x, x_{\alpha_j})$  by  $(x, x_{\alpha_1}), (x, x_{\alpha_2}), \dots$  and consider

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x, x_{\alpha_j}) x_{\alpha_j}.$$

Theorem 63: If  $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an o.n. set in a Hilbert space  $H$  then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x, x_j) x_j \text{ converges and the sum is independent of the}$$

order of summation.

Proof: Let  $S_n = \sum_{j=1}^n (x, x_j) x_j$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_n - S_{m-1}\|^2 &= \left\| \sum_{j=m}^n (x, x_j) x_j \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=m}^n \|(x, x_j) x_j\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=m}^n |(x, x_j)|^2 \quad \text{if } n \geq m \end{aligned}$$

By Bessel's inequality,  $\forall N \sum_{j=1}^N |(x, x_j)|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$ . Hence

$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |(x, x_j)|^2 \leq \|x\|^2$  (\*) and the sum on the LHS converges absolutely in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Hence given  $\varepsilon > 0 \exists m-1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{m-1}^{\infty} |(x, x_j)|^2 < \varepsilon.$$

Hence  $\|S_n - S_{m-1}\|^2 < \epsilon \quad \forall n \geq m$ . Therefore the sequence of partial sums is Cauchy. Since  $H$  is complete the sequence converges.

To prove that the limit is independent of the order use (\*) and the fact that the sum of a convergent series of positive terms is independent of the order.

Given  $x \in H$  we may now take the non-zero fourier coefficients and label them  $\{(x, x_j) : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , then form the sum

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x, x_j) x_j \in H, \text{ and finally define}$$

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} (x, x_{\alpha}) x_{\alpha} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x, x_j) x_j .$$

The equivalent identifying properties of complete *o.n.* sets are set out in the following:

Theorem 64: Let  $\{x_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$  be *o.n.* in  $A$ .

Then the following are equivalent.

- (i)  $\{x_{\alpha}\}$  is complete  $((x_{\alpha}, x) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \Rightarrow x = 0)$ ,
- (ii)  $\forall x \in H, \quad x = \sum_{\alpha \in A} (x, x_{\alpha}) x_{\alpha}$
- (iii)  $\forall x \in H, \quad \|x\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in A} |(x, x_{\alpha})|^2$
- (iv)  $\forall x, y \in H, \quad (x, y) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} (x, x_{\alpha}) \overline{(y, y_{\alpha})}$

Proof: (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $y = \sum_{\alpha \in A} (x, x_{\alpha}) x_{\alpha}$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x, x_{\alpha_j}) x_{\alpha_j} .$$

Then  $(y - x, x_{\alpha}) = (y, x_{\alpha}) - (x, x_{\alpha})$

$$(y, x_\alpha) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N (x, x_{\alpha_j}) x_{\alpha_j}, x_\alpha \right)$$

$$= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (x, x_\alpha) = (x, x_\alpha).$$

Thus  $(y - x, x_\alpha) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in A$  and so  $y - x = 0$  or  $y = x$ .

$$(ii) \Rightarrow (i): \quad \text{If } (x, x_\alpha) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \Rightarrow x = \sum_{\alpha \in A} 0 \cdot x_\alpha = 0.$$

$$(iv) \Rightarrow (iii): \quad \text{If } \forall x, y \quad (x, y) = \sum_{\alpha \in A} (x, x_\alpha) \overline{(y, y_\alpha)}$$

$$\text{let } x = y \Rightarrow \|x\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in A} |(x, x_\alpha)|^2 \quad \text{i.e. (iii).}$$

$$(ii) \Rightarrow (iv): \quad \text{let } x = \sum_{\alpha \in A} (x, x_\alpha) x_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x, x_{\alpha_j}) x_{\alpha_j} \quad \text{and let}$$

$$y = \sum_{\alpha \in A} (y, x_\alpha) x_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (y, x_{\alpha_j}) x_{\alpha_j}.$$

Note that by suitable relabelling and inclusion of zero terms in both sums we can, and have, assumed matched labelling in these sums.

$$\text{Then } (x, y) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N (x, x_{\alpha_j}) x_{\alpha_j}, \sum_{j=1}^N (y, x_{\alpha_j}) x_{\alpha_j} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x, x_{\alpha_j}) \overline{(y, y_{\alpha_j})}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha \in A} (x, x_\alpha) \overline{(y, x_\alpha)}$$

$$(iii) \Rightarrow (i) \quad \text{If } \|x\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in A} |(x, x_\alpha)|^2 \quad \text{and } (x, x_\alpha) = 0 \quad \forall \alpha \quad \text{then}$$

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \in A} 0^2 = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0 \quad \text{hence (i).}$$

Notes: (i) Normally  $|A| = \aleph_0$  i.e. complete orthonormal sets are countable. In this case we say that  $H$  is separable. [A space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be separable if it contains a countable dense subset. One can show that  $H$  is separable  $\iff$  it is separable in its induced topology].

Spaces (i)  $\rightarrow$  (iv) given at the beginning of the lecture are all separable.

(ii) Normally the  $\{x_n\}$  are nice functions (say infinitely differentiable if  $H$  is  $L_2[0,1]$ ).

(iii) Often a *c.o.n.* set is formed from a desirable set of functions which is complete but not *o.n.* For example  $\{1, x, x^2 \dots\}$  in  $C[0,1] \subset L_2[0,1]$ , We manufacture an *o.n.* set from a linearly independent set using the so called Gram-Schmitt procedure:

$$\text{Let } y_1 = x_1$$

$$y_2 = x_2 - (x_2, y_1)y_1 / \|y_1\|^2$$

.  
.  
.  
.  
.

Then let  $w_n = y_n / \|y_n\| \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The space spanned by the  $\{x_n\}$  = the space spanned by the  $\{w_n\}$  and the  $\{w_n\}$  is *o.n.*

(iv) The following exercise is relevant to this discussion:  
a linearly independent set is complete  $\iff$  its linear span is dense in  $H$ .

"Far better an approximate answer to the right question, which is often vague, than an exact answer to the wrong question, which can always be made precise."

(J.W. Tukey)